	Case 1:23-cv-00857-BAM Document	16 Filed 09/26/23 Page 1 of 3
1 2	Melissa Newel (#148563) NEWEL LAW 2625 Alcatraz Ave., Suite 132	
3	Berkeley, CA 94705 (510) 316-3827	
4	mnewel@newellawfirm.com	
5	Attorney for Plaintiff	
6	KEMA GROSS	
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	KEMA GROSS,	No. 1:23-CV-00857 (BAM)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	STIPULATION FOR 30-DAY BRIEFING
14	KILOLO KIJAKAZI, ACTING COMMISSIONER OF	EXTENSION; <del>[PROPOSED]</del> ORDER
15	SOCIAL SECURITY,	
16	Defendant.	
17		I
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>		
25	Plaintiff Kema Gross filed the above-referenced Social Security disability appeal on June	
26	5, 2023. (ECF Doc. 1) On August 1, 2023, the	e defendant Acting Commissioner of Social Security
27	responded to Plaintiff's Complaint by lodging a copy of the administrative record. (ECF Doc. 11)	
28	Pursuant to the Court's Scheduling Order, the	parties' stipulated to a first-time 30-day extension
	STIPULATION FOR 30-DAY BRIEFING EXTENSION; {PROPOSED} ORDER	

## Case 1:23-cv-00857-BAM Document 16 Filed 09/26/23 Page 2 of 3

1 for the filing of Plaintiff's Opening Brief. (ECF Doc. 13) Plaintiff's Opening Brief is currently 2 due to be filed on October 2, 2023. (ECF Doc. 14) 3 Plaintiff's counsel requests a second 30-day extension of time for the filing of the 4 Opening Brief to effectively advocate for Plaintiff. Plaintiff's counsel was not involved at the 5 administrative level and a close review of the administrative record concerning Plaintiff's medical issues and vocational background is necessary and required. See, Costa v. Comm'r of Soc. Sec. 6 Admin., 690 F.3d 1132, 1134 n. 1 (9th Cir. 2012) [noting "social security disability cases are often 7 8 highly fact-intensive and require careful review of the administrative record, including complex 9 medical evidence."] Plaintiff's counsel's recent unanticipated travel to assist an elderly parent 10 required several existing filing deadlines to be rescheduled resulting in multiple briefs due the 11 same day. Plaintiff's counsel apologizes to the Court for any inconvenience caused by the 12 extension request and is making all efforts to address the cases affected by counsel's time away 13 from the office. The defendant has no objection to Plaintiff's requested extension. 14 Respectfully submitted, 15 Dated: September 25, 2023 **NEWEL LAW** 16 Melissa Newel By: 17 Melissa Newel Attorney for Plaintiff 18 KEMA GROSS 19 20 Dated: September 25, 2023 PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney 21 MATTHEW W. PILE Associate General Counsel 22 Office of Program Litigation, Office 7 23 Heidi L. Triesch\* By: 24 HEIDI L. TRIESCH (Authorized by email dated 9/25/2023) 25 Special Assistant U.S. Attorney 26 Attorneys for Defendant 27 28

	Case 1:23-cv-00857-BAM Document 16 Filed 09/26/23 Page 3 of 3		
1	ORDER		
2	Good cause having been shown, IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiff shall be granted a further		
3	extension of thirty (30) days to file her Motion for Summary Judgment. The Motion for Summar		
4	Judgment shall now be filed on or before November 1, 2023, and all corresponding deadlines are		
5	modified accordingly		
6 7	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
8			
9	Dated: September 26, 2023 /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19 20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

STIPULATION FOR 30-DAY BRIEFING EXTENSION; [PROPOSED] ORDER